

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/710,487	11/10/2000	John Josef Hench	1340P082	5334	
7:	590 01/29/2003				
Archana B. Vittal BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, THIEN D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2665		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

					_		
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	(		
Office Action Summary		09/710,487	7	HENCH ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thien D Tra		2665			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	:		
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statut vill apply and will , cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 L	December 2	<u>002</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is r	non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa				rits is		
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	Ex parte Qu	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application	١.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from con	sideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.				
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		-				
11)[] -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on		-	• •			
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	- , ,	,	Tod by the Examiner.			
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·					
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, ,	J (	, ( ,			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).	Ū	•		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional appli	cation).		
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachmen	t(s)	-					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>12</u>	:		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2665

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being participated by Gaikwad et al (U.S Patent No 6317,495 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Gaikwad discloses a method for the determination (prediction) and optimization of a communications system comprising:

inputting data from a plurality of channels of the communications system;

determining (predicting) a performance of at least one of the plurality of channels
using a plurality of parameters to characterize the performance of the channel; and

Art Unit: 2665

optimizing the channel transfer function such as function of frequency, signal strength, phase shift, function of transmit spectrum...etc (parameters) of at least one of the plurality of channels in order to improve a bit rate of the at least one of the plurality of channels in the communications system. See col.16 lines 50-65, col.17 line 45, figures 9-14.

Regarding claims 13, Gaikwad discloses asystem for the prediction and optimization of a communications system comprising:

a determination module (prediction module), wherein the determination module determines (predicts) the performance of at least one channel in the communications system by providing a characterization of at least one parameter that describes the channel;

and an optimization module, wherein the optimization module finds the optimum characterization for the channel based on at least one design criteria. See figures 14, 15, 27, col.21 and 22.

Regarding claim 20, Gaikwad discloses a method for the prediction of the performance of a communications system comprising:

inputting data from at least one channel of the communication system into a prediction module (col.15 lines 10-15);

creating at least one transfer function model of the at least one channel; determining an impairment on the at least one channel (col.16 lines 40-60, figure 9); characterizing the at least one channel using the at least one transfer function

model and the impairment. See col.16 and 17.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 30, Gaikwad discloses a method for the prediction and optimization of a communications system comprising:

inputting data from at least one channel of the communications system;

predicting a performance of at least one of the channels using at least one
parameter to characterize the performance of the channel; and

optimizing at least one parameter of at least one of the channels in order to improve a bit rate of the at least one of the channels in the communications system. See col.17 lines 40-55.

Regarding claims 2, 31, Gaikwad discloses the determining the performance of the at least one of the plurality of channels comprises:

inputting data from at least one channel of the communications system into a prediction module;

creating at least one transfer function model of the at least one channel; determining an impairment on the at least one channel;

characterizing at least one channel using the at least one transfer function model and the impairment. See figure.9

Regarding claims 3, 21, 32, Gaikwad discloses that at least one transfer function model is created using physical configuration information of the communications system. See col.18 lines 45-65.

Regarding claims 4, 22, 33, Gaikwad discloses that at least one transfer function model is created using a spectrum management system. See col.19 lines 10-25.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 5, 23, 34, Gaikwad discloses that at least one transfer function model is created by measuring the transfer function from the communications system.

See col. 20 lines 10-25.

Regarding claims 6, 14, 24, 35, Gaikwad discloses that the impairment is selected from the group consisting of: a cross-talk impairment, an AM radio interference, a temperature impairment, and any combination thereof. See col.9 lines 5-35.

Regarding claims 7, 36, Gaikwad discloses the optimizing the parameters comprises: a) choosing a first parameter for the channel;

- b) choosing a second parameter for the channel;
- c) determining an optimization criteria for the channel based upon the first parameter and the second parameter;
- d) repeating a) c) until the optimization criteria is optimized for the communications system. See figures 10-14.

Regarding claims 8, 15, 25, 37, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is a wireline communications system. See col.14 lines 50-60.

Regarding claims 9, 16, 26, 38, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is a wireless communications system. See col.14 lines 50-60.

Regarding claims 10, 17, 27, 39, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is an optical communications system. See col.14 lines 50-60.

Regarding claims 11, 18, 28, 40, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is a cable communications system. See col.14 lines 50-60.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 12, 19, 29, 41, Gaikwad discloses that the communications system is a DSL communications system. See col.14 lines 45-60.

## Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Thien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4388. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2665

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (703) 308-6602. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thien Tran

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alfan von von